ST. LAWRENCE COUNTY PLANNING BOARD DRAFT MEETING MINUTES

September 10, 2020

Via Zoom https://zoom.us/j/94388527944 Meeting ID: 943 8852 7944 - Password: 935510

I. Call to Order

a. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum. Chair B. Murray called the meeting to order at 7 pm. Casserly did a roll call for attendance. A quorum was present.

Members Present: E. Alan, K. Bellor, K. Bisonette, D. Chambers, P. Darling, D. Duff, D. Fay, M. Gazin, A. Gilbert, M. McCluskey, B. Murray, K. O'Neil, J. Rose and C. Shatraw, J. Cameron. Members Absent: None. Staff Present: J. Pfotenhauer, and D. Casserly. Others Present: Cory McCandless, Omni Navitas; Rachel Hunter, Gouveneur Tribune Press.

Adoption of the Agenda.

The agenda was unanimously adopted (Gilbert, O'Neil).

• Approval of the Meeting Minutes.

The August 13, 2020 minutes were unanimously approved (Shatraw, Duff).

II. Land Use Training Session (30 minutes)

Site Plan Review Checklist

Pfotenhauer delivered a presentation.

Discussion:

- O'Neil asked about setbacks and zoning and why setbacks only appear in zoning.
 - o Pfotenhauer responded with that it should only exist in zoning because this is where dimensions are set.
 - o Duff asked about junkyard setbacks with no zoning.
 - Pfotenhauer agreed that this is an issue.
 - o Duff asked about County and State road setbacks
 - Chambers responded there are none
- Fay asked about Massena project on Water St. and what triggered the CPB review.
 - o Pfotenhauer responded that review is 500' (line of sight) from trigger features, in this case it was NYS Highway 37B.
- Bisonette asked what towns don't have zoning.
 - Pfotenhauer responded: Clare, Clifton, Dekalb, Depeyster, Fine, Fowler, Hammond, Hermon, Hopkinton, Lawrence, Lisbon, Macomb, Piercefield, Pitcairn, Rossie, and Russell. Villages: Hammond and Richville
- Shatraw asked about online training.
 - o Pfotenhauer will provide information and shared location on Google Drive.

• The presentation satisfied .5 hour of the Board's annual four-hour land use training requirement.

III. Public Forum

None

IV. Project Reviews

a. Referrals Returned Pursuant to MOU.

- i. Gouveneur (V): Site Plan, Porter Hardware Storage Building (Small Town Supply).
 - Duff and Gazin said they were concerned with traffic at that site (intersection of Route 11 (W Main st.) and Wall St.).
 - Alan suggested signing for better traffic flow.
 - Duff asked if this project is time sensitive.
 - Bellow suggested returning for local with traffic recommendations.
 - Approved with conditions, signage for right turn only on Rt 11, signage commercial vehicles not allowed to turn on Wall St.
 - Chambers stated that NYS DOT controls signage on state highways.
 - New condition, applicant converse with NYS DOT about signage and traffic impacts (consensus reached).

b. Full Reviews.

i. Edwards (T): Special Use Permit for a solar array in the Residential-Agricultural (R-A) zoning district at 1889 County Route 24.

Pfotenhauer presented the project review and reviewed staff recommendations.

- Gazin asked if there is a requirement for immediate neighbors to consume power produced from array.
 - o Pfotenhauer responded that community solar provides the option for neighboring properties to purchase power from the array.
- Alan asked if site was still active ag.
 - o Bisonette confirmed that it is.
- Alan stated his concern with solar projects consuming prime farmland.
- O'Neil agreed with Alan and would like to add language from the BOL's resolution addressing solar development and prime farmland. Also, applicants should demonstrate how to avoid prime farmland.
- Murray saidsolar consuming prime farmland is now common with SLC solar projects.
 - o Pfotenhauer responded that Town of Edwards' law doesn't mention prime farmland avoidance.
- Chambers stated that applicant should consult with County Highway Department about access road intersecting with County Route 24.
- Bisonette asked about siting issues along County Route 24 and access road intersection.
- Gilbert asked about the continued dilemma about siting solar on prime farmland.

- Rose is concerned if the electrical grid can handle.
 - o Gilbert supports Rose's comment about grid limitations.
- Alan recommended the use of other lands (landfills, gravel pits) for solar.
- Duff asked about the prospect of cutting array in half to preserve as much prime farmland as possible.
- Murray is concerned with land grabs for prime farmlands because cost is low when compared to other sites/lands.
- Gazin stated that Town of Edwards might be suited for more power with closure of mine and is concerned about alternative energy when sun and/or wind is not active.
- McCandless (Omni Navitas):
 - o Stated that the Edwards community is behind the projects (There is support for more solar projects).
 - o Omni was concerned with hydric soils on this site.
 - o Land owner is having the field but not making any money, solar can offset.
 - o Omni looked at former mine site.
 - o Forest removal and carbon sequestering are minor when compared to the solar power production which will benefit 350-400 homes.
 - o Array will not obstruct snowmobile trails.
 - o Omni has discussed plan with Edwards Fire.
 - O Access road 20' width requirement coming from National Grid (<u>Electrical</u> System Bulletin (ESB) 750).
 - o Decommissioning plan costs, Omni supports incorporating salvage value.
 - o Land grab comment, Omni took offense to this.
 - o Community solar, the public can access via a developer like Omni, National Grid has this option as well.
 - o Town of Edwards is working on buying power from community solar arrangement and it will save on their power bill.
 - o Omni Navitas is going to submit an app to the SLC IDA in regards to PILOT.
 - O Rose asked about 'impact' statement from National Grid and if the grid can't handle the added power from the array, will Omni change array to accommodate this.
 - McCandless responded, yes.
 - O Gazin asked about salvage costs in the decommissioning plan and provides an example of a gas station cleanup costs funded by a town.
 - Pfotenhauer stated other examples.
 - Chambers stated that salvage costs can be very unpredictable and gave an example of electronics and their decreasing salvage value.
 - O'Neil agreed with staff recommendation removing salvage costs.
 - o Gilbert provided an agriculture example of neighboring farms in Lawrence actually making it economically viable with preserving prime farmland
 - McCandless responded that that may be the case, however the land owner for this project is pursing solar.
- The Board approves with conditions (Duff/Shatraw)

Opposed: Alan

o Additional conditions:

- Demonstrate how the development will minimize the displacement of prime farmland.
- Revise access road width to 16', or minimum required by local code, or industry standard, to reduce the amount of land disturbance.
- Secure a highway access permit from the County Highway Department.
- Should National Grid reduce the array size because of grid capacity constraints, prime farmland and forestland should be preserved from array development first.
- ii. <u>Lawrence (T): Site Plan Review for a solar array at 409 Ferris Road.</u>
 Casserly presented the project review and reviewed staff recommendations.
 - Gilbert asked about moving the array into the forest to avoid prime farmland, and how will the board advise on potential forest clearing.
 - Duff asked, can layout change to reduce the footprint to avoid forest clearing and covering prime farmland.
 - o Alan responded that reducing the array is not economically viable to developers.
 - o McCandless responded that wetlands are preventing changing array.
 - Murray asked about economies of scale for 5MW solar project.
 - o McCandless responded with yes, 5MW is more profitable than smaller arrays.
 - O'Neil asked about rent payments to landowners.
 - o McCandless responded that payment details are a private arrangement between the developer and landowner.
 - Duff asked about information on financial arrangement and if neighboring landowners know about such information.
 - o McCandless responded that information was provided to landowners in mailings.
 - The Board approves with conditions (O'Neil/Gilbert). Opposed E. Alan.
 - o Additional conditions:
 - Demonstrate how the development will minimize the displacement of prime farmland.
 - Revise access road width to 16', or minimum required by local code, or industry standard, to reduce the amount of land disturbance.
 - Should National Grid reduce the array size because of grid capacity constraints, prime farmland and forestland should be preserved from array development first.
- iii. <u>Lawrence (T): Site Plan Review for 2 solar arrays at 641 Ferris Road (east and west)</u> Casserly presented the project review and reviewed staff recommendations.

- Rose spoke about her site visit to 637 Ferris Road and suggests the need for more vegetative screening. She also supports not clearing forests to make room for array.
 - o McCandless responded that they are considering more screening.
- Gilbert talked about how the CPB consider the recent resolution from the County BOL for avoiding prime farmland for projects like these.
 - o O'Neil agreed and to include added conditions from previous projects.
 - o Duff and Alan agreed as well.
 - o They asked, how best to mitigate the use of prime ag land for solar.
 - o Rose is concerned that the local municipality will just overrule CPB conditions.
 - o Pfotenhauer explained how conditions work with local municipalities.
 - Rose expressed her thoughts on solar companies swaying local towns with economic opportunities.
 - o O'Neil replied that there are impacts to others as well not just the property owners.
- Alan thanked McCandless for attending and presenting the developer point of view.
 - o McCandless provided her contact info: cory@omni-navitas.com, (207) 522-5523
- The Board approves with conditions (O'Neil/Duff).

Opposed: Alan, Gilbert, Darling.

Abstention: Rose.

- o Additional conditions:
 - Demonstrate how the development will minimize the displacement of prime farmland.
 - Revise access road width to 16', or minimum required by local code, or industry standard, to reduce the amount of land disturbance.
 - Should National Grid reduce the array size because of grid capacity constraints, prime farmland and forestland should be preserved from array development first.
- iv. Gouverneur (T): Special Use Permit for a 3.5 MW Solar Array in the Intensive Development District at 587 & 599 Rock Island Road (County Route 11).

Pfotenhauer presented the project review and reviewed staff recommendations.

- Alan asks about confirming if site has no prime farmland.
 - Staff confirms that this is the case.
- Pfotenhauer expressed that this project is entirely sited on non-prime farmland.
- Alan asked to include the non-binding recommendation that the applicant work with the SLC IDA on a PILOT.
- The Board unanimously approves with conditions (Alan/Bissonette).
 - Additional conditions:
 - Demonstrate how the development will minimize the displacement of prime farmland.
 - Revise access road width to 16', or minimum required by local code, or industry standard, to reduce the amount of land disturbance.

 Should National Grid reduce the array size because of grid capacity constraints, prime farmland and forestland should be preserved from array development first.

IV. Reports

a. Executive Committee.

 Murray talked about training, agenda, cell tower project that was RFLA (Returned for Local Action).

b. Board of Legislators (BOL).

• Fay stated that the BOL in the process of sales tax revenue negotiations and thanked the CPB for continuing to support the avoidance of siting solar on prime farmland.

c. Highway Department.

• Chambers said all projects are nearing completion.

d. County Roundtable.

- O'Neil said that the Board should try to further discuss the farmland/forest ideals outside project discussion in monthly board meeting.
 - o Rose replied that they should have a discussion before a regularly scheduled meeting.
 - Pfotenhauer agreed.
- O'Neil and Rose asked about the balance of solar projects among towns in SLC and what impacts they may have.
- The Board asked about other Omni Navitas projects in SLC and their status.

e. Staff Report.

- Census, CPO staff is pushing out product and advertising material.
 - O Shatraw responded that she has had 4 people at her house after she completed the Census.

V. Other Items

a. Correspondence.

- i. Notice from Town of Stockholm about Barton Pitts (Nexamp) project.
- ii. Stockholm billboard use variance, denied based on CPB NOA.
- iii. FERC (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission) letter to the CPO, it was blank.

b. Announcements. None

c. Next Meeting Dates. The Executive Committee will meet online on September 24th at 4:15 pm. The next County Planning Board will be online on October 8th at 7:00 pm.

VI. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at approximately 10:53 pm (Alan/Rose).

Respectfully Submitted,

Eric Alan, Secretary

Minutes prepared by Dakota Casserly

p:\planning\cpb\minutes\2020\cpb mins 09.10.20 - draft.docx